arXiv:1511.06867v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 21 Nov 2015

Magnetic excitations of the charge stripe electrons below half doping in $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_4$, $x = 0.45$ and $x = 0.4$

P. G. Freeman,[1,2,3,4] S. R. Giblin,[5,6] K. Hradil,[7,8] R. A. Mole,[9,10] P. Cermak,[11] and D. Prabhakaran[12]

[1]*Jeremiah Horrocks Institute for Mathematics, Physics, and Astronomy, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, PR1 2HE, UK*
[2]*Laboratory for Quantum Magnetism, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH/1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*
[3]*Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, 14109 Berlin, Germany*
[4]*Institute Laue-Langevin, BP 156, 38042, Grenoble Cedex 9, France.**
[5]*ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, UK*
[6]*School of Physics and Astronomy, Queens Building, The Parade, Cardiff University, CF24 3AA, UK*
[7]*Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Göttingen, Tammanstrasse 6, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*
[8]*T.U Wien, X-ray Centre, Getreidemarkt 9, A-1060 Vienna, Austria*
[9]*Forschungs-Netutronquelle Heinz Maier-Leibnitz (FRM II), Lichtenbergstraße, 1 85747 Garching, Germany*
[10]*Bragg Institute, ANSTO, New Illawarra Road, Lucas Heights, NSW, Australia*
[11]*Jülich Centre for Neutron Science, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum, Lichtenbergstraße, 1 85747 Garching, Germany*
[12]*Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK*

The low energy magnetic excitation spectrum of charge stripe ordered $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_4$, $x = 0.4$ and $x = 0.45$ were studied by neutron scattering. Two excitation modes are observed in both materials, one from the ordered magnetic moments, and a second mode consistent with pseudo-one-dimensional antiferromagnetic excitations of the charge stripe electrons (q-1D). The dispersion of the q-1D excitation follows the same relation as in $x = 1/3$ composition, with even spectral weight in the two counter-propagating branches of the $x = 0.4$, however in the $x = 0.45$ only one dispersion branch has any measurable spectral weight. The evolution of the q-1D excitations on doping to the checkerboard charge ordered phase is discussed.

PACS numbers:

Variation between the hourglass shaped magnetic excitation spectrum of the cuprates compared to the magnetic excitations of charge-stripe ordered $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_{4+\delta}$ (LSNO) has called into question the relevance of the charge-stripe picture for the cuprates.[1–5] Recently however, it has been shown that insulating charge-stripe ordered $La_{5/3}Sr_{1/3}CoO_{4+\delta}$ (LSCoO) has an hourglass shaped magnetic excitation spectrum that can be explained within a similar linear spin wave model used to describe charge-stripe ordered LSNO.[6] The reason behind the different excitation spectrums is in part due to disorder, but mainly due to the relative strength of the inter-stripe magnetic interaction across the charge-stripe, relative to the the intra-stripe interaction.[6] For a weak inter-stripe interaction an hourglass excitation is observed, whereas a strong interaction leads to the symmetric spin wave cones observed in LSNO.[3,5] The role of disorder in wiping out the mode that disperses away from the antiferromagnetic position has been established in a charge-stripe ordered manganate, these modes are present at base temperature but they have no measurable intensity on warming to the magnetic ordering temperature.[7] In the case of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCoO_{4+\delta}$ the origin of the hourglass excitation spectrum is disputed, with an alternative scenario that the hourglass arises from a disordered checkerboard charge-ordered state.[8]

In LSNO magnetic excitations of the charge-stripe electrons are observed,[9] whereas the spin state of the charge stripes in the cuprates and LSCoO is believed to be S = 0.[1,2] Although in case of the cuprates there is evidence for a $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$ inter unit cell magnetic order from certain experimental techniques[10]. It is necessary to understand the magnetic interactions of the charge-stripe electrons in LSNO as these electrons mediate the strong inter-stripe spin interaction in these materials, resulting in the spin wave cone dispersion of the magnetic excitations. It has been shown in LSNO around $x \sim 1/3$ there are doping independent gapped quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnetic correlations along the charge-stripes of the $S = 1/2$ charge-stripe electrons (q-1D).[9,11] Where the periodicity of the order is four Ni sites long. In the $x = 0.5$ the charge order is part stripe, and part checkerboard in character. The q-1D scattering in the $x = 0.5$ was observed to lock into the wavevector of the ordered Ni^{2+} $S = 1$ spins at $(h+1/2\pm\varepsilon/2, k+1/2\pm\varepsilon/2, l)$, $\varepsilon = 0.445$ positions in reciprocal space, as shown in Fig. 1.[12] In LSNO ε is known as the incomensurability, where $1/\varepsilon$ is the average periodicity of the charge order.[13] In this paper the issue of the q-1D scattering lock-in in the $x = 0.5$ composition is addressed by studying the striking difference of the q-1D scattering between $x = 1/3$ and $x = 0.5$ doping.

Single crystals of $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_4$ $x = 0.4$ and $x = 0.45$ compositions were grown using the floating-zone technique.[14]. The $x = 0.4$ was a slab of dimensions $\approx 15 \times 10 \times 4$ mm and weighs 1.8 g. The $x = 0.45$ sample investigated was a rod of 6 mm in diameter and 25 mm in length weighing 2.5 g. The samples used here are the same samples that were studied in previous neu-



FIG. 1: (Color online) Low-energy scattering from $La_{3/2}Sr_{1/2}NiO_4$ measured by a grid of scans at 3 meV. The three centres of scattering are from excitations from the spin-stripe ordered Ni^{2+} spins, and the extended ridges underneath these excitations are from quasi-one dimensional antiferromagnetic excitations of the charge stripe electrons. The existence of two modes was confirmed by the temperature dependences of the excitations.[12]



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) An energy scan of the magnetic excitations at the magnetic zone centre of $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_4$, $x = 0.4$. The background was measured at a wave vector $\pm(0.15, 0.15, 0)$ stepped away from the magnetic zone centre, sufficiently spaced to avoid the q-1D mode. Inset: An energy scan of the magnetic excitations at the magnetic zone centre of $x = 0.45$ sample. In both energy scans the magnetic intensity first increases as the out-of-plane anisotropy gap is over come, then decreases with increasing energy transfer as expected for antiferromagnetic excitations. (b) The doping variation of the out-of-plane anisotropy gap of $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_{4+\delta}$ where $n_h = x + 2\delta$ is the hole concentration. Additional data points taken from previous studies[5,12,20–22].

tron diffraction measurements.[15,16] Oxygen content of as grown $x = 0.4$ was determined to be stoichiometric by thermogravimetric analysis,[14] and the results of the neutron diffraction study of $x = 0.45$ are consistent with stoichiometric oxygen content.[13,16] The bulk magnetization of the $x = 0.45$ is found to have the same characteristics as a slightly oxygen deficient $x = 0.5$, but the $x = 0.45$ has the advantage of being well removed from the checkerboard charge ordered state.[16–18]

Neutron scattering experiments were performed on the triple-axis spectrometers PUMA and PANDA at the Heinz-Maier Leibnitz Zentrum, and IN8 at the Institut Laue-Langevin. The data were collected with a fixed final neutron wavevector of $k_f = 2.662$ Å on PUMA and IN8, and $k_f = 1.55$ Å on PANDA. On PUMA and IN8 a pyrolytic graphite (PG) filter and on PANDA a Beryllium filter, was placed after the sample to suppress higher-order harmonic scattering. The excitation spectrum of the $x = 0.45$ sample was measured on PUMA and PANDA, and the excitation spectrum of the $x = 0.4$ sample was measured on IN8. On all instruments the neutrons final and initial energy was selected by Bragg reflection off a double focusing pyrolytic graphite (PG) monochromator and double focusing (PUMA and IN8) or horizontal focusing (PANDA) analyzer respectively. The sample was orientated so that on all instruments (h, k, 0) positions in reciprocal space could be accessed. In this work the tetragonal unit cell of LSNO is referred to, with unit cell parameters $a \approx 3.8$ Å , $c \approx 12.7$ Å. A report on the magnetic excitation spectrum from the ordered Ni^{2+} spins has been reported elsewhere,[19] with the excitation spectrum extending to > 50 meV.

In the energy range of this study there is little development of the dispersion of magnetic excitations from the $S = 1$ Ni^{2+} spins, with inelastic neutron scattering unable to resolve the counter propagating modes. In Fig. 2(a) an energy scan of the magnetic excitations of the $x = 0.4$ sample at the magnetic zone centre with, $\varepsilon = 0.37$ is shown. With increasing energy transfer the intensity is approximately constant before increasing in-between 4 and 5.5 meV, then decreasing in intensity monotonically above 7 meV. The inset of Fig. 2(a) shows that a similar behaviour is observed for the $x = 0.45$ at the magnetic zone centre with $\varepsilon = 0.42$. For the $x = 1/3$ we have



FIG. 3: (Color online) A grid of low energy neutrons scans on the $x = 0.45$ perfromed at 3 meV, performed on PUMA. White circles indicate the wavevector of Bragg reflections from the spin-stripe ordered Ni^{2+} spins, whilst the dashed lines are guides to the eye of the diffuse ridges of scattering.

determined that this increase in intensity at low energy transfer is due to overcoming the out-of-plane anisotropy gap in LSNO, which is greatly reduced compared to the parent material.[21] We therefore assign this feature in the $x = 0.4$ and $x = 0.45$ as the out-of-plane anisotropy gap, and in Fig. 2(b) the doping dependence of out-of-plane anisotropy gap is shown. We note that the reduction in the out-of-plane anisotropy gap of charge-stripe ordered LSNO is similar to that recently reported for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$.[23]

In previous studies it has been demonstrated that an effective way to determine the location of the q-1D scattering is to map out reciprocal space in a grid scan at a fixed energy transfer.[9,12] In Fig. 3 a grid scan of the excitations of the $x = 0.45$ sample at 3 meV measured at 3.5 K is shown. White circles have been added to this figure to indicate the magnetic zone centres of the ordered Ni^{2+} spins, where intense magnetic excitations are observed to be dispersing out of the magnetic zone centres. Additional to the zone centre magnetic excitations, diffuse ridges of scattering are present that are consistent with the presence of q-1D correlations.[9,11,12]

By performing scans parallel to the q-1D scattering, that pass through the excitations of the ordered Ni^{2+} spins, we can determine a position where there is zero intensity from the excitations from the ordered spins. At this position scans across the q-1D scattering can be performed to map out the dispersion of the q-1D scattering. Fig. 4 shows constant energy scans across the q-1D scattering for the (a) $x = 0.4$ and (b) $x = 0.45$ doping levels. In the $x = 0.4$ sample the constant energy scans are well described by fitting two Gaussian lineshapes on a sloping



FIG. 4: (color online) Constant-energy scans across the q-1D scattering, for (a) the $x = 0.4$, (b) the $x = 0.45$. Successive scans have been offset vertically by the addition of (a) 500 counts (b) 250 counts, for the purpose of clarity. The solid lines are the result of a fit to the data of a sloping background with (a) two Gaussian lineshape, and (b) one Gaussian lineshape.

background, with the splitting of the two peaks increasing with increasing energy transfer. Fig. 4 (b) however shows that in the $x = 0.45$ the dispersion of the q-1D scattering can be fitted by one Gaussian lineshape on a sloping background, that disperses with increasing energy transfer. There is a small shoulder of scattering on the left hand side of the 9 meV peak that is not perfectly described by this lineshape, and there maybe a relatively weak excitation mode. The dispersion of the q-1D scattering is clearly different in the two doping levels studied here, in the $x = 0.4$ there are two counter-propgating modes, whereas in the the $x = 0.45$ only one dispersive mode is observed to have significant spectral weight.



FIG. 5: (Color online) Constant-energy scans across the q-1D scattering in the $x = 0.45$ measured at 1.0 meV, 1.5 meV and 2 meV. The solid lines are the result of a fit to the data of a sloping background with one Gaussian lineshape. The wave vector resolution parallel to the scan resolution for is 0.0124 r.l.u. at 1.0 meV, 0.0127 r.l.u. at 1.5 meV and 0.0131 r.l.u. at 2 meV. A broadening of the q-1D scattering is observed in scans at 1.0 meV and 1.5 meV, compared to 2 meV.



FIG. 6: (Color online) The fitted centres of the q-1D excitations obtained from constant energy scans such as those shown in Fig 4 and Fig.nonexist. A solid vertical line represents the zone centre of the q-1D, and a dashed vertical line represents the zone boundary of the q-1D. Centres of the q-1D at 5, 7 and 8 meV in the $x = 0.4$ that disperse to smaller values of ξ have been folded into this half Brillioun zone for better comparison with the dispersion of the $x = 0.45$. The $x = 0.45$ data is fitted with a gapped sinsusoidal dispersion for the expected q-1D periodicity, with the fit being consistent with the dispersion of the q-1D observed in the $x = 1/3$.[9,11]

Further measurements of the q-1D scattering have been performed on the $x = 0.45$ sample to lower energies using the higher energy resolution of the cold triple axis spectrometer PANDA with $k_f = 1.55$ Å . In Fig. 5 constant energy scans of the $x = 0.45$ at 1.0, 1.5 and 2 meV are fitted by a Gaussian lineshape on a sloping background. The scan of the q-1D scattering at 1.0 meV and at 1.5 meV are significantly broadened compared to the scan at 2.0 meV. Calculations of the resolution ellipsoid indicate this broadening is not due to focusing and de-focusing effects, the broadening is intrinsic to the q-1D scattering. At 2.0 meV and 1.5 meV the peak centres are consistent with a $\xi = 0.25$ centring of the q-1d. In comparison to the intensity of q-1d mode at 2.0 meV and 1.5 meV there is an apparent $64 \pm 32, \%$ increase in intensity observed at 1.0,meV. Attempts to measure the gap energy in a higher resolution mode with $k_f = 1.2$ Å suffered from low count rates.

To compare the dispersion of the q-1D scattering in the $x = 0.45$ and $x = 0.4$ samples the energy variation of the fitted peak centres obtained in constant energy scans is shown in Fig. 6. The centres of the q-1D in the $x = 0.4$ have been folded into a half Brillioun zone for comparison purposes. Within the experimental error there is no significant difference in the dispersion of the q-1D scattering of the $x = 0.45$ and $x = 0.4$ samples, indicating the main interactions of the q-1D correlations are the same in both materials.

In the $x = 1/3$ the q-1d dispersion was originally compared to a Heisenberg spin one half antiferromagnet spin chain, before the spin gap was determined.[9] We therefore compare the $x = 0.45$ dispersion of Fig. 4 to that of a Heisenberg spin one half antiferromagnet spin chain with the inclusion of a gap term:

$$E(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{E_0} + \pi \mathbf{J} |\mathbf{sin}(\mathbf{2\pi Q.d})|, \qquad (1)$$

obtaining an energy gap $E_0 = 1.3 \pm 0.5$ meV and a spin exchange interaction per spin of $J = 2.7 \pm 0.3$ meV. The q-1d is observed to energies lower than this energy gap due to the large intrinsic energy width of the mode indicative of a short-lived excitation.[11] Although this describes the dispersion well, it is highly questionable to describe a system with such a large energy gap compared to the bandwidth as 1-D Heifsenberg spin chain, meaning the bandwidth of the excitation may be re-normalized to $4J$ not πJ. Surprisingly the parameters obtained from this phenomenological fit accurately describe the dispersion the q-1D scattering of the $x = 1/3$.[9,11], further showing the doping independence of the main q-1D spin interaction.

The observed change in spectral weight of the q-1d on doping to $x = 0.45$ indicates the presence of additional weaker interactions in this material. Ideally at half doping a charge ordered arrangement for Ni centred charge stripes with $\varepsilon = 1/2$ would have every other Ni site as part of a charge-stripe, like a checkerboard. For checkerboard charge order (CCO) there would be no stripe direction, as 'stripes' run along the two diagonal directions

so the stripe electrons lose their 1 dimensionality. In the case of $\varepsilon = 1/3$ there is a well defined charge stripe direction with the stripes separated by 3 Ni-Ni spacings. Doping levels between $1/3 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ can be thought of as an admixture of the $\varepsilon = 1/3$ and $\varepsilon = 1/2$ charge ordered states.[13] Below $\varepsilon = 0.418$ LSNO would be closer to the $x = 1/3$ with a well defined stripe direction, whereas for $\varepsilon > 0.418$ the charges stripes would be closer to CCO with an ill defined stripe direction. We postulate that this is the cause of all the measurable spectral weight for the q-1D modes going to the mode that dispersing towards the antiferromagnetic wave vector in the $x = 0.45$ with $\varepsilon \simeq 0.42 > 0.418$. Whether this perturbation plays a similar role as disorder in creating hourglass shaped spin excitation spectrums in certain insulating charge-stripe ordered materials is unclear.[6,7] For the magnetic excitations from the ordered $S = 1$ Ni^{2+} spins it has also been shown that across $\varepsilon = 0.418$ there is a dramatic change in the effect of spatial discommensurations of the charge order periodicity to the magnetic excitation spectrum.[19]

In the case of $x = 0.5$ the stripe order has $\varepsilon = 0.445$ and charge order that has a mixed stripe and CCO character. The apparent lock in of the wavecvector of the q-1D to the the wavevector of the order Ni^{3+} moments from $(0.275, 0.275, l)$ type positions at 3 meV we tentatively propose to be accidental. In the $x = 0.5$ with only one q-1D mode an increasingly poorly defined 'stripe' direction should cause the spin interaction of the stripe electrons to soften, a 5-6 meV zone boundary energy would produce a q-1D at $(0.275, 0.275, l)$ at 3 meV. Whether such a softening occurred gradually on increasing doping from $x = 0.45$ to $x = 0.5$ is unclear. The added stability of the CCO in the $x = 0.5$, as indicated by the ordering temperature,[18] could result in a large enhancement of the perturbation and cause the softening of the q-1D.

This study has shown the remarkable robustness of the dispersion of q-1D magnetic excitations and their quasi-one dimensionality in LSNO over the doping range $x = 0.275$ to $x = 0.45$. Despite the lack of variation in the dispersion of the q-1D scattering there is a dramatic lose of spectral weight in one dispersion branch on going from $x = 0.4$ to $x = 0.45$ as the stripe direction definition is reduced. An alternative explanation to a wavevector lock in of the q-1D to order spin-stripe wave vector in the $x = 0.5$, is an accidental observation of co-incidence due to the softening of the q-1D dispersion.[12] The robustness of the stripe direction in the magnetic excitations of the charge-stripe electrons in LSNO may be important in understanding the dimensionality of the charge order, recently discovered in many classes of cuprate superconductors, and this charge order's apparent difference to the charge-stripe order of La-based cuprates.[1,25]

We wish to acknowledge that crystal growth for this work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council of Great Britain. We wish to acknowledge that this research project has been supported by the European Commission under the 6th Framework Programme through the Key Action: Strengthening the European Research Area, Research Infrastructures. Contract n: RII3-CT-2003-505925.

* Electronic address: paul.reeman@epfl.ch

1 J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternleib, J. D. Axe, Y. Nakamura and S. Uchida, Nature (London) **375**, 561 (1995).

2 S. M. Hayden, H. A. Mook, Pengcheng Dai, T. G. Perring and F. Doan,Nature (London) **429**, 531 (2004); J. M. Tranquada, H. Woo, T. G. Perring, H. Goka, G. D. Gu, G. Xu, M. Fujita and K. Yamada, Nature (London) **429**, 534 (2004).

3 A. T. Boothroyd, D. Prabhakaran, P. G. Freeman, S. J. S. Lister, M. Enderle, A. Hiess, and J. Kulda, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 100407(R) (2003).

4 P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, M. Braden, K. Nakajima, and J. M. Tranquada Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** 147202 (2003).

5 H. Woo, A. T. Boothroyd, K. Nakajima, T.G. Perring, C. D. Frost, P. G. Freeman, D. Prabhakaran, K. Yamada and J. M. Tranquada, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 064437 (2005).

6 A. T. Boothroyd, P. Babkevich, D. Prabhakaran, and P. G. Freeman, Nature (London) **375**, 561 (2011).

7 H. Ulbrich, P. Steffens, D. Lamago, Y. Sidis, and M. Braden, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 247209 (2012).

8 Y. Drees, D. Lamago, A. Piovano, and A. C. Komarek, Nat. Commun. **4**, 2449 (2013); Y. Drees, Z. W. Li, A. Ricci, M. Rotter, W. Schmidt, D. Lamago, O. Sobolev, U. Rütt, O. Gutowski, M. Sprung, A. Piovano, J. P. Castellan, and A. C. Komarek, Nat. Commun. **5**, 5731 (2013).

9 A. T. Boothroyd, P. G. Freeman, D. Prabhakaran, A. Hiess, M. Enderle, J. Kulda, and F. Altorfer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 257201 (2003).

10 B. Fauqué, Y. Sidis, V. Hinkov, S. Pailhès, C. T. Lin, X. Chaud, and P. Bourges, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 197001 (2006).

11 P. G. Freeman, D. Prabhakaran, K. Nakajima, A. Stunault, M. Enderle, C. Niedermayer, C. D. Frost, K. Yamada, and A. T. Boothroyd, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 094414 (2011).

12 P. G. Freeman, A. T. Boothroyd, D. Prabhakaran, C. D. Frost, M. Enderle, and A. Heiss, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 174412 (2005).

13 H. Yoshizawa, T. Kakeshita, R. Kajimoto, T.Tanabe, T. Katsufuji and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B **61**, R854 (2000);

14 D. Prabhakaran, Isla P., and A. T. Boothroyd, J. Cryst. Growth **237**, 815 (2002)

15 P. G. Freeman, A. T. Boothroyd, D. Prabhakaran, M. Enderle and C. Niedermayer, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 024413 (2004)

16 S. R. Giblin, P. G. Freeman, K. Hradil, D. Prabhakaran, and A. T. Boothroyd, Phys. Rev. B **78** ,184423 (2008).

17 P. G. Freeman, S. R. Giblin and D. Prabhakaran, J Supercond. Nov. Mag. **24**, 1149 (2011).

18 R. Kajimoto, K. Ishizaka, H. Yoshizawa, and Y. Tokura, Phys Rev B **67**, 014511 (2003).

19 P. G. Freeman, S. R. Giblin, K. Hradil, R. A. Mole, and D. Prabhakaran, J. Kor. Phys. Soc. **62**, 1453 (2013).

[20] K. Nakajima, K. Yamada, S. Hosoya, T. Omata, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62**, 4438 (1993).
[21] A. T. Boothroyd, P. G. Freeman, D. Prabhakaran, M. Enderle, and J. Kulda, Physica B **345** , 1 (2004).
[22] P. G. Freeman, M. Enderle, S. M. Hayden, C. D. Frost, D. X. Yao, E. W. Carlson, D. Prabhakaran, and A. T. Boothroyd, Phys. Rev. B **80** , 144523 (2009).
[23] A. T. Rømer, J. Chang, N. B. Christensen, B. M. Andersen, K. Lefmann, L. Mähler, J. Gavilano, R. Gilardi, Ch. Niedermayer, H. M. Rønnow, A. Schneidewind, P. Link, M. Oda, M. Ido, N. Momono, and J. Mesot, Phys. Rev. B **87** , 144513 (2013).
[24] R. C. Williams, F. Xiao, T. Lancaster, R. de Renzi, G. Allodi, S. Bordignon, P. G. Freeman, F. L. Pratt, S. R. Giblin, J. S. Möller, S. J. Blundell, A. T. Boothroyd, and D. Prabhakaran, article in preparation.
[25] See for example: Suchitra E Sebastian, Neil Harrison and Gilbert G Lonzarich, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 102501 (2012); G. Ghiringhelli, M. Le Tacon, M. Minola, S. Blanco-Canosa, C. Mazzoli, N. B. Brookes, G. M. De Luca, A. Frano, D. G. Hawthorn, F. He, T. Loew, M. M. Sala, D. C. Peets, M. Salluzzo, E. Schierle, R. Sutarto, G. A. Sawatzky, E. Weschke, B. Keimer, and L. Braicovich, Science **337**, 821 (2012); J. Chang, E. Blackburn, A. T. Holmes, N. B. Christensen, J. Larsen, J. Mesot, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, A. Watenphul, M. v. Zimmermann, E. M. Forgan, and S. M. Hayden, Nat Phys **8**, 871 (2012); A. J. Achkar, R. Sutarto, X. Mao, F. He, A. Frano, S. Blanco-Canosa, M. Le Tacon, G. Ghiringhelli, L. Braicovich, M. Minola, M. Moretti Sala, C. Mazzoli, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, B. Keimer, G. A. Sawatzky, and D. G. Hawthorn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 167001 (2012); E. H. Da Silva Neto, R. Comin, F. He, R. Sutarto, Y. Jiang, R. L. Greene, G. A. Swartzky, and A. Damascelli, Science **347**, 282 (2015)